EFFECT OF DIFFERENT HERBICIDES ON WEEDS AND GRAIN YIELD OF MAIZE

Vijay G. Raut¹, V. S. Khawale², Roshan Moharkar³, R. Bhadoriya⁴ and Damyanti Meshram⁵

ABSTRACT

The present experiment entitled "Weed management in maize (Zea mays L.) using different pre and post-emergence herbicides" was conducted during kharif season of 2015-16 in Agronomy Section, College of Agriculture, Nagpur, with an aim to study the effect of different herbicides on weeds and grain yield of maize in randomized block design with three replications. The experimental field was infested with monocot weeds viz., Commelina benghalensis, Cyanotis oxillaris, Cyperus rotundus, Denebra arabica, Cynodon dactylon, and dicot weeds viz., Digera arvensis, Celosia argentea, Tridex procumbense, Acalypha indica, Euphorbia geniculata, Euphorbia hirta, Phyllanthus niruri, and Physalis minima. These weeds were found dominant in the experimental plot. Treatments included pre emergence application of Oxydiargyl (@ 60, 75, 90 g ha⁻¹), Metsulfuron- methyl (@ 4, 5, 6 g ha⁻¹) and Halosulfuron methyl (@ 100, 125, 150 g ha⁻¹) with Weed free and Weedy check. The results of the study indicated that post emergence application of Metsulfuron- methyl @ 4 g ha-1 significantly reduced monocot, dicot and total weed population and total weed dry weight with higher weed control efficiency and lower weed index. Higher grain yield and straw yield were significantly more by the application of Metsulfuron-methyl @ 4 g ha-1 over other herbicidal treatments.

(Key words: Weeds, Oxydiargyl, Metsulfuron-methyl, Halosulfuron-methyl)

INTRODUCTION

Weeds are associated with crops since time and create acute problem in rainy season crop. The yield of maize under Indian condition may be attributed by number of factors among them weeds causes harmful effect on the growing plants and interference with land use, weed rank as prime enemies in crop production. Weed characterized by a fast growing rate in their seeding stage become a potential source of competition specially for soil nutrients. They interfere with efficiency of fertilizer utilization by crop plants because a sizeable portion of the fertilizer added to the soil is used by weed. Weeds in maize are very competitive for water, light and nutrients. It is important to reduce their occurrence already in early stage of development i.e. from emergence to 8-10 leaves stage especially in maize, which is characterized by a slower growth rate in this period (G¹siorowska and Makarewicz, 2008). Potential yield losses, resulting from competitive weeds, can be significant and therefore, effective chemical treatments are still the most reasonable and cost-effective tillage in corn (Weber and Go³êbiowska, 2009). The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of selected herbicides applied pre-and postemergence for weed control in maize grown for grain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted at Agronomy farm, College of Agriculture, Nagpur during *kharif* season

of 2015-16. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications. The treatments consists of (T₁) weedy check, (T₂) weed free, (T₃) PE-Oxydiargyl 80% WP 60 g. a.i ha⁻¹, (T₄) PE-Oxydiargyl 80% WP 75 g.a.i ha⁻¹, (T₅) PE-Oxydiargyl 80% WP 90 g. a.i ha⁻¹, (T₆) POE-Metsulfuron methyl 20% WP 4 g. a.i ha⁻¹ 15 DAS,(T₇) POE-Metsulfuron methyl 20% WP 5 g. a.i ha⁻¹ 15 DAS, (T_o) POE-Metsulfuron methyl 20% WP 6 g. a.i ha⁻¹ 15 DAS, (T_o) POE-Halosulfuron methyl 75% WG @ 100 g. a.i ha-1 15 DAS, (T₁₀) POE-Halosulfuron methyl 75% WG @ 125 g.a.i ha⁻¹ 15 DAS, and (T₁₁) POE- Halosulfuron methyl 75% WG @ 150 g. a.i ha-1 15 DAS. The soil of experimental plot was clayey in texture, low in available nitrogen (187.60 kg ha⁻¹), medium in phosphorus (20.32 kg ha⁻¹) and organic carbon (0.52 %) and very high in available potash (333.67 kg ha⁻¹) and slightly alkaline in reaction (pH 7.87).

The crop variety PKVM- Shatak was used with gross plot size of $4.8~\mathrm{m} \times 5.4~\mathrm{m}$ and net plot size of $3.6~\mathrm{m} \times 4.6~\mathrm{m}$. Full dose of phosphorus, potassium and half dose of nitrogen were applied at sowing and remaining half dose of N was applied at $30~\mathrm{DAS}$. In each experimental plot, an area of a quadrate $0.50~\mathrm{m} \times 0.50~\mathrm{m}$ was fixed and observations on monocot, dicot, and total weed population were recorded at $30,60,90~\mathrm{DAS}$ and at harvest stage. Total dry matter of weeds was also recorded at the same stages. Weed control efficiency and weed index were calculated by the following formuls

1) Weed control efficiency (%):

1, 3, 4 & 5. P.G. Students, Agronomy Section, College of Agriculture, Nagpur (M.S.)

2. Assoc. Professor, Agronomy Section, College of Agriculture, Nagpur (M.S.)

WCE (%) =
$$\frac{DMC - DMT}{DMC} \times 100$$

Where, WCE= Weed control efficiency, DMC= Dry matter of weeds in control plot, DMT= Dry matter of weeds in treated plot.

2) Weed index (%):

WI =
$$\frac{X - Y}{X}$$
 x 100

Where,

WI = Weed index in per cent, X = Yield from maximum yielding plot

Y = Yield under the treatment for which weed index is to be calculated.

For grain and straw yield five plants of maize from each net plot were selected randomly, labeled properly and seed and straw yield (kg ha⁻¹) were calulated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of herbicides on weed population

The data pertaining to number of monocot, dicot and total weeds population m⁻² as influenced by various treatments are presented in table 1. Weed count was progressively increased from 30 DAS with a decline observed at harvest stage of the crop. At 30 days among different herbicidal treatments post-emergence application of Metsulfuron methyl 20% WG @ 4 g a.i ha¹15 DAS,(T₆) significantly reduced number of monocot, dicot and total weed population followed by post-emergence application of Metsulfuron methyl 20% WG @ 5 g a.i ha¹15 DAS,(T₇) and post-emergence application of metsulfuron methyl 20% WG @ 6 g a.i. ha¹15 DAS,(T₈). Significantly lowest number of monocot, dicot and total weeds were recorded by treatment T₂ (weed free) and higher number of monocot, dicot and total weeds were recorded by treatment T₁ (weedy check) at all stages of observations. Similar trend was observed at 60, 90 DAS, and at harvest stage.

Hussain *et al.* (2003) evaluated the comparative efficacy of different herbicides in controlling weeds and improving growth and yield of wheat crop. Alkanak 75.3 wp (Metsulfuron methyl + Isoproturon @1.5 a.i.; kg ha⁻¹), were used in wheat. Results showed that all the herbicide treatments decreased the weeds population, weed index and increased the dry matter, and wheat grain yield. Alkanak gave maximum grain yield of 4067 kg ha⁻¹. Wang *et al.* (2007) observed Metsulfuron-methyl is widely used for pre and post-emergence control of many annual grasses and broadleaf weeds in cereal, pasture, and plantation crops because Metsulfuron-methyl is effective even at low application doses. Sondhia (2008) conducted research in wheat crop and reported that Metsulfuron-methyl a post-emergence herbicide was highly active to control broad-

leaf weeds population in cereals, pasture and plantation crops. She stated that Metsulfuron-methyl application @ 3-4 g a.i. ha⁻¹ can be safely applied to the wheat crop as post-emergence herbicide, it reduced weed dry weight by reducing monocot, dicot weed population, and weed index, while increased weed control efficiency, plant height and yield kg ha⁻¹. Ahmed and Chauhan (2015) observed that application of oxydiargyl @ 80, 120, 160. g a.i. ha⁻¹ effictively controled the total weed population (*Digitaria ciliaris*, *Echinochloa colona and Phyllanthus niruri*), reduced the weed biomass significantly and among the herbicide treatment highest yield was recorded in plots treated with oxadiargyl @ 160 g a. i. ha⁻¹.

Effect of herbicides on weed dry weight

Relevant data regarding dry matter production by weeds (gm^{-2}) as influenced periodically by different treatments are presented in table 2. At 30 DAS, application of Metsulfuron methyl 20% WG @ 4 g a.i ha-1 15 DAS (T_6) alone recorded significantly lower weed dry weight than other herbicidal weed control treatments and at par with treatment T_{γ} (Metsulfuron methyl 20% WG @ 5 g a.i ha-1 15 DAS) and T_8 (Metsulfuron methyl 20% WG @ 6 g a.i ha-1 15 DAS) . Treatment T_{γ} (weedy check) showed significantly more weed dry weight while treatment T_2 (Weed free) recorded lowest weed dry weight over rest of the treatments. Same trend was found at 60, 90 DAS , and at harvest stage of observations.

Wang et al. (2007) observed that Metsulfuronmethyl application reduced the weeds and weed dry weight. It is widely used for pre and post-emergence control of many annual grasses and broadleaf weeds in cereal, pasture, and plantation crops because metsulfuron-methyl is effective even at low application doses. Sondhia (2008) conducted research in wheat crop and reported that Metsulfuron-methyl a post-emergence herbicide was highly active to control broad-leaf weeds population in cereals, pasture and plantation crops. She stated that Metsulfuronmethyl application @ 3-4 g a.i.ha-1 to the wheat crop as post-emergence herbicide reduced weed dry weight by reducing monocot, dicot weed population, and weed index, while increased weed control efficiency, plant height and yield kg ha⁻¹. Singh et al. (2015) reported that post emergence application of Metsulfuron-methyl 20% WG @ 4 g ha + 0.2% surfactant significantly reduced broad leaf weed population and total weed dry weight with highest weed control efficiency, seed yield, straw yield, biological yield and harvest index over other herbicidal treatments in wheat.

Effect of herbicides on weed control efficiency and weed index

Data pertaining to weed control efficiency (%) and weed index (%) as influenced by different weed control treatments are presented in table 2.

At 30 DAS, among the chemical weed control treatment post- emergence application of Metsulfuron methyl 20% WG @ 4 g a.i. ha $^{-1}$ 15 DAS (T_6) recorded higher weed control efficiency, it was followed by Metsulfuron

Table 1. Effect of different herbicides on weeds and grain yield of maize

				Monocot we	weed population	ıtion	Q D	Dicot weed population	population			Total weed population	l populati	uo
	Treatments D	Doses g ha ⁻¹	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest
<u> </u>	Weedy check		6.76	8.17	9.10	8.80	5.72	7.49	8.17	7.82	8.83	11.06	12.21	11.75
T_2	Weed free		00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00 00
Ľ	Oxydiargyl	9	2.08	5.36	5.70	5.58	4.56	5.08	5.27	5.14	6.79	7.35	7.73	7.54
Ç.		3	(25.33)	(28.33)	(32.00)	(30.36)	(20.33)	(25.33)	(27.33)	(26.00)	(45.66)	(53.66)	(59.33)	(56.36)
E	Ovvdiarovl	57	4.91	5.08	5.49	5.30	4.22	4.67	5.11	4.88	6.44	98.9	7.47	7.17
1	Oayunangyi		(23.66)	(25.33)	(29.66)	(27.66)	(17.33)	(21.33)	(25.66)	(23.33)	(40.99)	(46.66)	(55.32)	(50.99)
E	Overdionari	8	5.01	5.40	5.64	5.49	4.48	4.91	5.21	5.11	69.9	7.26	7.64	8.11
5	Oxymargyi	06	(24.66)	(28.66)	(31.33)	(39.66)	(19.66)	(23.66)	(26.66)	(25.66)	(44.32)	(52.32)	(57.99)	(50.32)
E	Modern 16.2002	3	4.10	4.48	4.88	4.56	3.48	3.97	4.33	4.14	5.33	5.95	6.49	6.12
91		\$	(16.33)	(19.66)	(23.33)	(20.33)	(11.66)	(15.33)	(18.33)	(16.66)	(27.99)	(34.99)	(41.66)	(36.99)
E	Motor 16.200	y	4.33	4.81	5.08	4.91	3.85	4.14	4.70	4.33	5.75	6.31	68.9	6.46
11	Metsanaron- memyi	3	(18.33)	(22.66)	(25.33)	(33.66)	(14.33)	(16.66)	(21.66)	(18.33)	(32.66)	(39.32)	(46.99)	(41.24)
E	Metsulfuron- methyl	ع	4.41	4.88	5.11	4.98	3.90	4.33	4.77	4.60	5.84	6.49	96.9	09.9
8		3	(19.00)	(23.33)	(25.66)	(24.33)	(14.71)	(18.33)	(22.33)	(20.66)	(33.66)	(41.66)	(47.99)	(42.99)
E	Holosulfuron-mothyl	001	5.11	5.46	5.75	5.40	4.81	5.17	5.40	5.27	86.9	7.49	7.86	7.51
61		0	(25.66)	(29.33)	(32.66)	(28.66)	(22.66)	(26.33)	(28.66)	(27.33)	(48.32)	(55.66)	(61.32)	(55.99)
E	Hologalfraga mother	30	5.27	2.67	6.04	5.72	4.88	5.30	5.55	5.33	7.15	7.73	8.17	7.79
110		571	(27.33)	(31.66)	(36.00)	(32.33)	(23.33)	(27.66)	(30.33)	(28.00)	(50.66)	(59.32)	(66.33)	(60.33)
E	Hologulfunon mother	150	5.30	5.72	6.09	5.87	5.04	5.49	2.67	5.58	7.29	7.90	8.29	8.00
111	naiosun uron-incuryi	oct	(27.66)	(32.30)	(36.66)	(34.00)	(25.00)	(29.66)	(31.66)	(30.66)	(52.66)	(61.96)	(68.32)	(64.66)
	$SE(m) \pm$		0.162	0.167	0.196	0.187	0.175	0.137	0.169	0.176	0.185	0.198	0.220	0.211
	CD at 5%		0.47	0.49	0.57	0.54	0.50	0.40	0.49	0.49	0.54	0.58	0.64	0.61
	GM		4.57	5.00	5.35	5.17	4.09	4.59	4.92	4.74	6.10	92.9	7.24	7.09
1														

Table 2. Effect of different herbicides on weeds and grain yield of maize

Tre	Treatments	Doses g ha ⁻¹	Tota		weed dry weight		Weed	control (Weed control efficiency %	%	Weed index	Grain	Straw
)	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest	%	yiciu (kg ha ⁻¹)	yıcıu (kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁	Weedy check		10.00	12.04 (144.61)	12.93 (166.75)	10.54 (110.75)			ı		39.80	3562.77	5130.40
T_2	Weed free		98	8	90	8	100	100	100	100	1	5917.82	8995.10
T_3	Oxydiargyl	09	7.30 (52.87)	7.87 (61.51)	8.25 (67.6)	8.08 (64.82)	46.88	57.46	59.46	41.47	9.59	5350.20	7704.30
\mathbf{T}_{4}	Oxydiargyl	75	6.97 (48.22)	7.29 (52.72)	8.04 (64.3)	7.73 (59.39)	51.55	63.54	61.43	46.37	7.96	5446.81	7843.40
T_{5}	Oxydiargyl	06	7.19 (51.33)	7.79 (60.33)	8.32 (68.75)	7.91 (62.12)	48.42	58.28	58.77	43.9	8.37	5422.66	7808.63
T_6	Metsulfuron- methyl	nethyl 04	5.92 (34.59)	6.48 (41.5)	7.07 (49.59)	6.85 (46.51)	65.24	71.3	70.26	59.81	4.08	5676.28	8514.42
\mathbf{T}_7	Metsulfuron- methyl	nethyl 05	6.26 (38.72)	6.94 (47.77)	7.46 (55.30)	7.20 (51.39)	61.09	96.99	66.83	46.37	5.41	5597.78	8228.73
$\mathbf{T_s}$	Metsulfuron- methyl	nethyl 06	6.41	6.96 (47.97)	7.59	7.35	58.18	65.23	65.74	45.32	6.93	5507.58	8119.15
T ₉	Halosulfuron-methyl	nethyl 100	7.52 (56.13)	7.85	8.47	7.93	43.6	57.63	57.19	43.62	17.04	4909.38	7069.50
T_{10}	Halosulfuron-methyl	nethyl 125	(58.33)	8.20 (66.76)	8.65 (74.33)	8.27 (67.92)	41.39	53.83	55.42	38.67	19.59	4758.41	6852.11
T_{11}	Halosulfuron-methyl	nethyl 150	7.85 (61.17)	8.40 (70.22)	8.76 (76.4)	8.47 (71.26)	38.54	51.44	54.18	35.65	22.55	4583.29	6599.93
	SE (m) ±	+1	0.178	0.194	0.204	0.201		1	ī		1	147.10	317.15
	CD at 5%	%	0.52	0.57	09.0	0.59					•	431.46	930.23
	GM		9.99	7.27	7.77	7.37			ī	1		5163.05	7533.24

methyl 20% WG @ 5 g a.i. ha⁻¹ 15 DAS (T₇) and Metsulfuron methyl 20% WG @ 6 g a.i. ha⁻¹ 15 DAS (T₈), which also provided more or less good control of early-emerged weeds and later emerged weeds. The treatment T₂ (weed free) recorded highest weed control efficiency. This could be due to continuous weed free plot that caused better weed control through crop growth with significant reduction in weed dry matter accumulation. Same trend was found at 60, 90 DAS, and at harvest stage. Weed index (%) was computed as the yield reduction comparative to the highest yielding treatment T₂ (Weed free). Among the herbicidal treatment, application of Metsulfuron methyl 20% WG @ 4 g a.i. ha⁻¹ 15 DAS (T₆) recorded minimum weed index. Better weed control in this treatment provided favourable condition for crop growth and less yield reduction than other treatments.

Singh *et al.* (2005) found that weed free check recorded minimum weed population per m⁻² and maximum weed control efficiency (92.91%) and maximum grain yield (28.40 q ha⁻¹) in wheat. Sondhia (2008) conducted research in wheat crop and reported that Metsulfuron-methyl a postemergence herbicide was highly active to control broadleaf weeds population in cereals, pasture and plantation crops. It can be concluded that metsulfuron-methyl application @ 3-4 g a.i.ha⁻¹ to the wheat crop as postemergence herbicide reduced weed dry weight by reducing monocot, dicot weed population and weed index, while increased weed control efficiency, plant height and yield kg ha⁻¹.

Effect of herbicides on yield

Data in table 2 indicated that grain yield and straw yield, significantly affected by different herbicidal treatments. All the weed management practices significantly improved grain yield and straw yield over weedy check. Treatment T_2 (weed free) registered significantly higher grain yield (5917.82 kg ha⁻¹) and straw yield (8995.10 ha⁻¹), which proved significantly superior to other treatments. Among herbicidal treatment application of Metsulfuron- methyl 20% WG @ 4 g a.i. ha 1 15 DAS (T_6) recorded higher grain yield (5676.28 kg ha⁻¹) and straw yield (8514.42 kg ha⁻¹) as compared to all other herbicidal treatments followed by application of Metsulfuron- methyl 20% WG @ 5 g a.i. ha 1 15 DAS (T_7) and application of Metsulfuron- methyl 20% WG @ 6 g a.i. ha 1 15 DAS (T_8). Weedy check (T_1) recorded the lowest maize grain yield (3562.77 kg ha⁻¹).

Shekhawt *et al.* (2002) reported that weed free treatment resulted in maximum plant height (203 cm) yield attributes and grain and straw yields (56.40, 117 q ha⁻¹) of

maize crop. Hussain *et al.* (2003) evaluated the comparative efficacy of different herbicides in controlling weeds and improving growth and yield of wheat crop. Alkanak 75.3 wp (Metsulfuron methyl + Isoproturon @1.5 a.i.; kg ha⁻¹), was used in wheat. Results showed that all the herbicide treatments decreased the weeds population weed index and increased the plant height, spike length, dry matter, wheat grain yield by increasing number of tillers unit⁻¹ area, number of grains spike⁻¹ and thousand grains weight and harvest index. Alkanak gave maximum grain yield of 4067 kg ha⁻¹. Singh *et al.* (2005) found that weed free check recorded minimum weed population per m⁻² and maximum weed control efficiency (92.91%) and maximum grain yield (28.40 q ha⁻¹) in wheat. Sibel *et al.* (2010) recorded that weed free plots gave the highest yield (16.2 kg/m⁻²) of onion bulb.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, S. B. and S. Chauhan, 2015. Efficiency and phytotoxicity of different rates of oxadiargyl and pendamethalin in dryseeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in Bangladesh.Crop Protection. **72**:169-174.
- G¹siorowska, B. and A. Makarewicz, 2008. The efficiency of selected herbicides in maize cultivation. Prog. Plant Protection Post, Ochr. Roœlin 48(2): 578-581.
- Hussain, N., M. B. Khan, M. Tariq and S. Hanif, 2003. Spectrum of activity of different herbicides on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). International J. Agri. & Bio. 5 (2):166–168.
- Shekhawt, S. M., L. S. Bhushan, K. D. Koranne and G. Friesen, 2002. Weed management in maize and blackgram intercropping in mid- hills sub- humid zone of Himachal Pradesh. Indian J. Weed Sci. 25 (1-2): 43-46.
- Sibel, U., R. Gurbuz and N. Uygur, 2010. Weed of onion fields and effects of some herbicides on weeds in aukurova region, Turkey. African J. Biotech. 9(42):7037-7042.
- Singh, I., M. S. Rathore, M. S. Chandawat, R. S. Yadav, L. Makhan, 2005. Herbicidal weed control in blond psyllium (*Plantago ovate*) grown on aridisols under irrigated condition. Indian J. Agron. 50(3): 247-248.
- Singh, R. K., S. K. Verma, S. K. Prasad and S. B. Singh, 2015. Effect of Metsulfuron- methyl against broad leaf weeds in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). J. Crop and Weed. 11(Special Issue): 161-166.
- Sondhia, S. 2008. Persistence of metsulfuron-methyl in wheat crop and soil. Environ Monit Assess .147:463-469.
- Sulewska, H., W. Koziara, G. Ptaszyńska and K. Panasiewicz, 2008. Efficacy of new herbicides in weed control in maize. Prog. Plant Protection/Post. Ochr. Roslin. 48(1): 313–316.
- Wang, H. Z., J. Gan, J. B. Zhang, J. M. Xu, S. R. Yates, J. J. Wu and Q. F. Ye, 2007. Kinetic distribution of metsulfuronmethyl residues in paddy soils under different moisture conditions. J. Environ. Qaul. 38:164-170.
- Weber, R. and H. Go³êbiowska, 2009. Effect of herbicide Titus 25 WG on variability of maize cultivars yield under lower Silesia. Fragm. Agron. 26(4): 181–188.

Rec. on 01.07.2016 & Acc. on 25.08.2016