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#### Abstract

Eighty eight genotypes of maize (Zea mays L.) were evaluated for genetic divergence to identify potential parents for hybridization programme in kharif 2018 at College of Agriculture, Nagpur.Mahalanobis $\mathbf{D}^{2}$ statistics for nine characters viz., days to $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ tasseling, days to $50 \%$ silking, days to maturity, plant height, cob length, cob girth, number of grains $\mathbf{c o b}^{-1}, 100$ grain weight and grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ were used in this study for computing genetic divergence. The eighty eight genotypes were grouped into twenty clusters by usingTocher's method. The maximum inter-cluster distance was recorded between cluster IV and cluster XX ( $\mathrm{D}=\mathbf{2 8 . 4 1}$ ) whereas, minimum inter-cluster distance was found between cluster VI and cluster VIII ( $\mathrm{D}=1.82$ ). The canonical analysis and cluster means study revealed the importance of days to $50 \%$ tasseling, number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$, plant height, grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ and cob length were considered as criteria for selecting potential parents for hybridization programme and according to this criteria 28 genotypes viz., 52202, 52623, 52025, 52201, 52014, 52291, 52087, 52115, 52196, 52020, 52140, 52327, 52285, 52180, 52497, 52552, 52347, 52045, 52597, $52353,52095,52081,52065,52219,52263,52250,52603$ and 52040 were identified to be used as parents for hybridization programme, which were suggested to be crossed in diallel fashion to obtain superior cross combinations. PKVM-Shatak as it is in separate cluster and distant from other clusters can be further improved to produce new hybrid by crossing with parents 52250, 52020, 52087, 52025, 52014, 52040, 52623, 52201, 52180, 52552, 52115 and 52202.
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## INTRODUCTION

Maize (Zea mays L.) is the world's important cereal crop after wheat and rice. Maize is known as queen of cereals because it has great yield potential, wider adaptability and attained the leading position among cereals in term of production as well as productivity. Maize can be grown in a wide range of climates, which is used as a food for human consumption and feed for cattle. It belongs to family Poaceae also called as Gramineae and subfamily Panicoideae. It is one of the first plant species identified to photosynthesize by $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ pathway with high yield potential. Maize seed oil is also low in linolenic acid (0.7\%) and contains a high level of flavour Rahangdale et al., (2019) Maize provides many of the $B$ vitamins and essential minerals along with fiber, but lacks some other nutrients, such as vitamin B12 and vitamin C, and is, in general, a poor source of calcium, folate and iron. Silage is prepared from green maize plants. Maize is not only used as food, feed and fodder but also used for some industrial purposes for manufacturing viz. starch, alcohol, acetic acid, glucose, paper, furfural, rayon, dyes, synthetic rubber and resin etc. (Pandit et al., 2019).

Assessment of genetic diversity is an essential pre-requisite for identifying potential parents for hybridization. Diverse parents are expected to yield higher frequency of heterotic hybrids in addition to generating a broad spectrum of variability in segregating generations. $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ statistic was one of the methods used to study the genetic divergence and it was first time developed by Mahalanobis in 1936. Maize breeders are consistently emphasizing on the importance of diversity among parental genotypes as a significant factor contributing to heterotic hybrids. $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ analysis is a useful tool for quantifying the degree of divergence between biological population at genotypic level and in assessing relative contribution of different components to the total divergence both at intra and intercluster level (Murty and Arunachalam, 1966).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material comprised of eighty eight germplasm obtained from principle scientist and I/C winter nursery centre/ICAR-IIMR/ Rajendranagar Hyderabad-30 and one check viz. PKVM-Shatak. These eighty eight genotypes were grown in Randomized Block Design in three

[^0]replications with the spacing of $60 \mathrm{~cm} \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ accommodating 15 plants in each row for the estimation of genetic divergence analysis in kharif 2018-19. Eighty seven parents and one check viz. PKVM-Shatak was also raised in three replications adjacent to the parents for the estimation of genetic divergence.Recommended package of practices were followed to raise a good crop. The data were recorded on five randomly selected plants from each genotype on following six characters except days to $50 \%$ tasseling, days to $50 \%$ silking and days to maturity which were recorded on plot basis. The data recorded were subjected to $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ statistics to know the genetic diversity among the germplasm as suggested by Mahalanobis (1936). Grouping of genotypes into clusters was done as per the method described by Rao (1952) and identifying the superior genotypes was as per the method described by Bhatt (1970).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance for nine characters revealed highly significant differences among the genotypes for all the nine characters indicating presence of substantial genetic variability for the characters studied (Table 1). Based on the magnitude of $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ values, 88 genotypes were grouped into 20 clusters (Table 2). Cluster I was the largest comprising of 65 genotypes. The next largest cluster was cluster IX which included 5 genotypes, cluster II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, X, XI, XII, XIII, XIV, XV, XVI, XVII, XVIII, XIX, XX included only one genotype each. Average intra and inter-cluster $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ values were presented in table 3 . The intra-cluster variation ranged from 0.00 to 5.46 . Cluster IX possessed highest intracluster distance ( $\mathrm{D}=5.46$ ) followed by cluster I ( $\mathrm{D}=4.96$ ). The average inter-cluster distance was maximum between cluster IV and cluster XX ( $\mathrm{D}=28.41$ ) followed by cluster XI and cluster XX ( $\mathrm{D}=26.12$ ), cluster II and cluster XIX ( $\mathrm{D}=$ 25.72), cluster XV and cluster XX ( $\mathrm{D}=25.56$ ), cluster V and cluster XIX ( $\mathrm{D}=25.06$ ), cluster IV and cluster XIX ( $\mathrm{D}=$ 23.26) and cluster XVII and cluster XIX ( $\mathrm{D}=23.24$ ). This suggests more variability in genetic makeup of genotypes included in these clusters. The inter-cluster distance was found to be minimum between cluster VI and cluster VIII (D $=1.82$ ).

The per cent contribution of nine characters towards total genetic divergence (Table 4) showed that the per cent contribution of days to $50 \%$ tasseling to the total divergence was maximum (18.34\%) followed by 100 grain weight (17.08\%), plant height (14.47\%), grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ (13.19\%), cob girth (13.09\%), days to maturity (11.05\%), cob length (6.64\%) and number of grains cob $^{-1}$ (4.05\%). Relatively days to $50 \%$ silking (2.09\%) contributed less towards genetic divergence.Varaprasad and Shivani (2017) also in agreement with high contribution of number of kernels row $^{-1}$ (22.56\%), 100 kernel weight (20.19\%), days to $50 \%$ tasseling (11.84\%) and grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ (10.30\%).

The value of first five canonical vectors and canonical roots are presented in table 5 and in table 6
respectively. The first three canonical roots accounted for $54.03 \%$ of the observed variability in material ( $\lambda_{1}=25.93 \%$, $\lambda_{1}=15.11 \%$ and $\lambda_{3}=12.99 \%$ ). The overall contribution of the five canonical roots to total variability among 88 genotypes was $75.60 \%$ suggesting the completion of major portion of differentiation in first five phases. This indicated that differentiation for nine characters among 88 genotypes was nearly completed in five phases. Further the coefficients in the first five canonical vectors indicate that out of nine quantitative characters grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$, number of grains $\mathrm{cob}^{-1}$, cob length, plant height, cob girth and 100 grain weight were important characters in the first vector which was major access of differentiation accounting for $25.93 \%$ of total variation. Days to $50 \%$ tasseling and cob length were important characters in secondary access of differentiation which accounted for $15.11 \%$ of total variation. Important characters in vector III were days to maturity, number of grains cob $^{-1}$ and days to $50 \%$ silking accounting to $12.99 \%$ of variation. Plant height and cob girth were important characters in vector IV which accounted for $11.08 \%$ and days to $50 \%$ tasseling, days to maturity, 100 grain weight, plant height and cob girth were important source of variation in vector V accounting to $10.49 \%$ of variation. This suggested that parents selected on the basis of characters like days to $50 \%$ tasseling, number of grains $\mathrm{cob}^{-1}$, plant height, cob girth and cob length may be expected to be genetically diverse. Akhi et al. (2017) and Varaprasad and Shivani (2017) also carried out the canonical analysis in maize and reported that days to $50 \%$ silking, plant height, cob length (cm), number of rows cob ${ }^{-1}$, number of grains $\mathrm{cob}^{-1}$ for both the vectors I and II were positive and these are indication of the important components of genetic divergence.

Data regarding cluster means for all the nine characters are presented in table 7. The genotypes from cluster XII possessed the highest cluster mean for plant height, 100 grain weight and cob girth. Cluster XIX showed maximum mean for number of grains $\mathrm{cob}^{-1}$ and grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$. Cluster VII showed maximum mean for days to 50\% tasseling and days to $50 \%$ silking. Cluster VIII showed maximum mean for cob length and cluster XIII for days to maturity, the genotypes with high mean values may be used as parents in future hybridization programme.

According to Bhatt (1970) the mean statistical distance may be considered arbitrarily as a guide line and crosses between parents belonging to different clusters having same or higher inter-cluster distance than the mean statistical distance may be attempted. The crosses should be chosen from widely distinct clusters. But, it is observed in the present study that there might be several genotypes included in such widely separated clusters. Then the question arise which of the genotypes from these more diverse clusters may be used for crossing. In that case preference for those genotypes which perform better for the characters (days to $50 \%$ tasseling, number of grains $\mathrm{cob}^{-1}$, plant height, grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ and cob length) which contributed much towards divergence should be given. In
Table 1.Analysis of variance for various characters

Table 3. Average intra and inter-cluster distance by Tocher's method

| Cluster | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | IX | X | XI | XII | XIII | XIV | XV | XVI | XVII | XVIII | XIX | XX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 4.96 | 6.69 | 6.56 | 6.94 | 9.16 | 8.32 | 7.55 | 8.30 | 7.66 | 7.70 | 6.71 | 7.56 | 6.84 | 7.55 | 7.81 | 6.98 | 8.77 | 9.20 | 13.57 | 16.35 |
| II |  | 0.00 | 8.53 | 2.13 | 8.76 | 17.52 | 10.55 | 18.19 | 10.83 | 5.75 | 6.39 | 14.47 | 7.44 | 4.79 | 8.91 | 6.79 | 6.25 | 9.08 | 25.72 | 20.31 |
| III |  |  | 0.00 | 7.38 | 12.84 | 7.99 | 12.27 | 10.37 | 11.99 | 13.59 | 8.40 | 10.66 | 3.43 | 6.85 | 4.00 | 11.74 | 8.31 | 11.15 | 11.13 | 23.48 |
| IV |  |  |  | 0.00 | 12.81 | 14.20 | 11.25 | 15.56 | 12.51 | 10.84 | 4.48 | 11.50 | 6.72 | 8.57 | 7.01 | 10.47 | 6.95 | 10.09 | 23.26 | 28.41 |
| V |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 17.89 | 4.14 | 12.48 | 11.39 | 9.46 | 19.31 | 14.44 | 14.83 | 11.31 | 16.22 | 10.41 | 11.18 | 19.82 | 25.06 | 11.57 |
| VI |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 13.79 | 1.82 | 7.40 | 14.90 | 10.42 | 6.09 | 7.71 | 15.57 | 10.90 | 16.60 | 12.92 | 10.56 | 5.94 | 18.35 |
| VII |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 9.10 | 10.81 | 12.21 | 14.82 | 6.90 | 17.50 | 12.51 | 10.92 | 7.64 | 14.92 | 15.03 | 20.00 | 15.98 |
| VIII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 7.95 | 14.87 | 13.56 | 4.94 | 10.85 | 18.39 | 14.56 | 16.04 | 13.27 | 14.69 | 8.80 | 16.22 |
| IX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.46 | 8.58 | 12.18 | 11.60 | 9.76 | 8.83 | 12.07 | 9.63 | 10.89 | 9.27 | 16.56 | 10.72 |
| X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 8.01 | 12.19 | 10.81 | 8.54 | 15.84 | 7.83 | 10.06 | 7.72 | 18.09 | 8.00 |
| XI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 7.24 | 6.78 | 10.73 | 8.74 | 9.04 | 11.13 | 5.88 | 13.67 | 26.12 |
| XII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 14.25 | 18.34 | 11.81 | 11.51 | 18.24 | 8.79 | 8.69 | 21.30 |
| XIII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 7.17 | 8.60 | 12.65 | 5.30 | 12.40 | 13.68 | 22.52 |
| XIV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 5.00 | 5.68 | 8.97 | 9.86 | 20.72 | 15.86 |
| XV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 10.28 | 12.50 | 9.81 | 14.03 | 25.56 |
| XVI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 16.16 | 9.19 | 21.51 | 17.58 |
| XVII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 16.06 | 23.24 | 18.62 |
| XVIII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 16.22 | 18.14 |
| XIX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 22.06 |
| XX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |

Table 4. Contribution of individual character to divergence

| Sr.No. | Source |  | Time rank | $1^{\text {st }} \quad \mathbf{P}$ | Per cent contribution |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Days to 50\% tasseling |  | 702 |  | 18.34 |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | Days to 50\% silking |  | 80 |  | 2.09 |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | Days to maturity |  | 423 |  | 11.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | Plant height (cm) |  | 554 |  | 14.47 |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | Cob length (cm) |  | 254 |  | 6.64 |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | Cob girth (cm) |  | 501 |  | 13.09 |  |  |  |  |
| 7. | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |  | 155 |  | 4.05 |  |  |  |  |
| 8. | 100 grain weight (g) |  | 654 |  | 17.08 |  |  |  |  |
| 9. | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ (g) |  | 505 |  | 13.19 |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 3828 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| Table 5. The value of canonical vectors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vector | Days to $50 \%$ tasseling | Days to $50 \%$ silking | Days to Maturity | Plant Height (cm) | Cob <br> Length (cm) | Cob <br> Girth <br> (cm) | Number of grains cob $^{-1}$ | 100 grains weight (g) | Grain yield plant ${ }^{1}$ (g) |
| I | -0.076 | -0.329 | -0.045 | 0.289 | 0.456 | 0.180 | 0.510 | 0.104 | 0.538 |
| II | 0.574 | -0.227 | -0.178 | 0.061 | 0.163 | -0.662 | 0.033 | -0.342 | 0.012 |
| III | -0.104 | 0.145 | 0.619 | 0.096 | -0.102 | 0.035 | 0.350 | -0.662 | -0.053 |
| IV | -0.018 | -0.278 | -0.416 | 0.608 | -0.399 | 0.302 | -0.078 | -0.310 | -0.162 |
| V | 0.631 | -0.053 | 0.505 | 0.340 | -0.046 | 0.248 | -0.117 | 0.377 | -0.089 |

[^1]Table 7. Cluster means for nine characters in maize

| Cluster | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Days to } \\ 50 \% \\ \text { tasseling } \end{gathered}$ | Days to 50\% <br> silking | Days to <br> Maturity | Plant <br> Height <br> (cm) | Cob <br> Length <br> (cm) | Cob <br> Girth <br> (cm) | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ | 100 grains weight (g) | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 54.46 | 57.72 | 86.12 | 149.32 | 13.30 | 10.74 | 280.79 | 18.68 | 51.44 |
| II | 52.67 | 56.33 | 86.00 | 136.87 | 11.98 | 10.35 | 202.93 | 17.31 | 27.49 |
| III | 54.00 | 57.00 | 88.67 | 115.80 | 14.15 | 11.32 | 332.27 | 20.99 | 57.85 |
| IV | 52.33 | 56.67 | 86.33 | 148.00 | 13.06 | 11.64 | 222.73 | 19.19 | 40.85 |
| V | 57.33 | 60.33 | 88.00 | 138.73 | 14.33 | 9.31 | 186.20 | 18.05 | 31.75 |
| VI | 55.00 | 58.33 | 87.33 | 168.40 | 16.98 | 12.44 | 403.90 | 19.11 | 77.11 |
| VII | 59.00 | 62.33 | 85.67 | 156.67 | 13.61 | 10.73 | 240.00 | 19.87 | 46.03 |
| VIII | 56.00 | 59.33 | 87.67 | 177.67 | 17.42 | 11.72 | 367.20 | 20.02 | 73.63 |
| IX | 55.93 | 59.07 | 87.33 | 161.93 | 13.71 | 10.80 | 309.11 | 15.48 | 49.02 |
| X | 52.67 | 55.67 | 82.33 | 152.87 | 14.04 | 9.83 | 258.07 | 15.29 | 35.63 |
| XI | 50.67 | 54.33 | 82.67 | 161.27 | 13.09 | 11.74 | 301.67 | 19.29 | 56.79 |
| XII | 55.67 | 58.67 | 82.67 | 182.13 | 16.87 | 12.87 | 341.70 | 21.26 | 69.63 |
| XIII | 51.00 | 54.33 | 89.33 | 131.13 | 13.44 | 10.52 | 296.50 | 18.49 | 55.16 |
| XIV | 55.33 | 58.33 | 87.67 | 109.53 | 9.53 | 9.69 | 253.60 | 15.76 | 34.56 |
| XV | 56.67 | 60.33 | 86.67 | 116.27 | 11.63 | 11.96 | 304.80 | 19.16 | 55.85 |
| XVI | 55.67 | 57.67 | 85.33 | 148.33 | 8.98 | 9.73 | 234.87 | 17.57 | 37.48 |
| XVII | 51.33 | 56.33 | 89.00 | 133.27 | 13.86 | 9.37 | 287.67 | 18.09 | 41.69 |
| XVIII | 54.33 | 57.00 | 82.67 | 162.40 | 14.61 | 12.82 | 370.60 | 16.83 | 47.54 |
| XIX | 55.00 | 57.67 | 83.00 | 144.60 | 17.07 | 12.33 | 414.30 | 20.03 | 89.69 |
| XX | 57.67 | 60.33 | 84.33 | 146.60 | 15.50 | 9.06 | 316.40 | 12.97 | 38.77 |
| S. D. | 2.29 | 2.06 | 2.29 | 19.70 | 2.27 | 1.21 | 63.61 | 2.09 | 16.47 |
| Variance | 5.24 | 4.23 | 5.26 | 387.97 | 5.17 | 1.47 | 4045.73 | 4.37 | 271.13 |

Table 8. Selection of cluster combinations, potential parents and cross combination on the basis of genetic diversity

| Sr.No. | Cluster combination | Average inter-cluster distance | Cross Combination | Traits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{IV} \times \mathrm{XX}$ | 28.41 | $52202 \times 52250$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{XX}$ | 26.12 | $52623 \times 52250$ | Cob length |
| 3 | $\mathrm{II} \times \mathrm{XIX}$ | 25.72 | $52025 \times 52603$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{XV} \times \mathrm{XX}$ | 25.56 | $52201 \times 52250$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{XIX}$ | 25.06 | $52014 \times 52603$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 6 | III $\times$ XX | 23.48 | $52291 \times 52250$ | 100 grain weight |
| 7 | $\mathrm{IV} \times \mathrm{XIX}$ | 23.26 | $52202 \times 52603$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 8 | XVII $\times$ XIX | 23.24 | $52087 \times 52603$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 9 | XII $\times$ XX | 22.52 | PKVM-Shatak $\times 52250$ | Cob girth |
| 10 | XIX $\times$ XX | 22.06 | $52603 \times 52250$ | Cob length |
| 11 | XVI $\times$ XIX | 21.51 | $52115 \times 52603$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 12 | $\mathrm{XI} \times \mathrm{XX}$ | 21.30 | $52196 \times 52250$ | Cob length |
| 13 | XIV $\times$ XIX | 20.72 | $52020 \times 52603$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 14 | $\mathrm{II} \times \mathrm{XX}$ | 20.31 | $52025 \times 52250$ | Cob girth |
| 15 | $\mathrm{VII} \times$ XIX | 20.00 | $52140 \times 52603$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 16 | $\mathrm{V} \times$ XVIII | 19.82 | $52014 \times 52234$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 17 | $\mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{XI}$ | 19.31 | $52014 \times 52196$ | Cob girth |
| 18 | XVII $\times$ XX | 18.62 | $52087 \times 52250$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 19 | VIII $\times$ XIV | 18.39 | $52327 \times 52020$ | Cob length |
| 20 | $\mathrm{VI} \times \mathrm{XX}$ | 18.35 | $52285 \times 52250$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 21 | XII $\times$ XIV | 18.34 | PKVM-Shatak $\times 52020$ | 100 grain weight |
| 22 | XII $\times$ XVII | 18.24 | PKVM-Shatak $\times 52087$ | Cob girth |
| 23 | II $\times$ VIII | 18.19 | $52025 \times 52327$ | Cob length |
| 24 | XVIII $\times$ XX | 18.14 | $52234 \times 52250$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 25 | X $\times$ XIX | 18.09 | $52623 \times 52603$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 26 | $\mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{VI}$ | 17.89 | $52014 \times 52285$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 27 | $\mathrm{XVI} \times \mathrm{XX}$ | 17.58 | $52115 \times 52250$ | 100 grain weight |
| 28 | $\mathrm{III} \times \mathrm{VI}$ | 17.52 | $52291 \times 52285$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 29 | VII $\times$ XIII | 17.50 | $52140 \times 52040$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 30 | $\mathrm{VI} \times \mathrm{XVI}$ | 16.60 | $52285 \times 52115$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 31 | IX $\times$ XIX | 16.56 | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 52180 \\ 52497 \\ 52552 \\ 52347 \\ 52045 \end{array}\right]-\times 52603$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 32 | $\mathrm{I} \times \mathrm{XX}$ | 16.35 | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 52196 \\ 52597 \\ 52353 \\ 52095 \\ 52081 \\ 52065 \\ 52219 \\ 52219 \end{array}\right]-\times 52250$ | Number of grains $\mathrm{cob}^{-1}$ |
| 33 | VIII $\times$ XX | 16.22 | $52327 \times 52250$ | Cob length |
| 34 | XVIII $\times$ XIX | 16.22 | $52234 \times 52603$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 35 | $\mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{XV}$ | 16.22 | $52014 \times 52201$ | 100 grain weight |
| 36 | XVI $\times$ XVII | 16.16 | $52115 \times 52087$ | Cob girth |
| 37 | XVII $\times$ XVIII | 16.06 | $52087 \times 52234$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 38 | VIII $\times$ XVI | 16.04 | $52327 \times 52115$ | Cob girth |
| 39 | XIII $\times$ XX | 15.86 | $52040 \times 52250$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 40 | $\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{XV}$ | 15.84 | $52623 \times 52201$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |


|  | 326 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | VI $\times$ XIV | 15.57 | $52285 \times 52020$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 42 | IV $\times$ VIII | 15.56 | $52202 \times 52327$ | Cob length |
| 43 | VII $\times$ XVIII | 15.03 | $52140 \times 52234$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 44 | VII $\times$ XVII | 14.92 | $52140 \times 52087$ | 100 grain weight |
| 45 | $\mathrm{VI} \times \mathrm{X}$ | 14.90 | $52285 \times 52623$ | Cob girth |
| 46 | VIII $\times$ X | 14.87 | $52327 \times 52623$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 47 | V $\times$ XIII | 14.83 | $52014 \times 52040$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 48 | VII $\times$ XI | 14.82 | $52140 \times 52196$ | Cob girth |
| 49 | VIII $\times$ XVIII | 14.69 | $52327 \times 52234$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 50 | VIII $\times$ XV | 14.56 | $52327 \times 52201$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 51 | II $\times$ XII | 14.47 | $52025 \times$ PKVM-Shatak | Cob girth |
| 52 | V $\times$ XII | 14.44 | $52014 \times$ PKVM-Shatak | Cob girth |
| 53 | XII $\times$ XIII | 14.25 | PKVM-Shatak $\times 52040$ | Cob girth |
| 54 | $\mathrm{IV} \times \mathrm{VI}$ | 14.20 | $52202 \times 52285$ | Number of grains $\mathrm{cob}^{-1}$ |
| 55 | XV $\times$ XIX | 14.03 | $52201 \times 52603$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 56 | $\mathrm{VI} \times$ VII | 13.79 | $52285 \times 52140$ | Cob length |
| 57 | XIII $\times$ XIX | 13.68 | $52040 \times 52603$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 58 | XI $\times$ XIX | 13.67 | $52196 \times 52603$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 59 | $\mathrm{III} \times \mathrm{X}$ | 13.59 | $52291 \times 52623$ | Cob girth |
|  |  |  | $\left.\begin{array}{ll} 52196 \\ 52597 \end{array}\right]$ |  |
| 60 | I $\times$ XIX | 1357 | 52353  <br> 52095  |  |
| 60 | $1 \times$ XIX | 13.57 | 52095  <br> 52081  <br> 52065  <br> 52219  <br> 52263  | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 61 | VIII $\times$ XI | 13.56 | $52327 \times 52196$ | 100 grain weight |
| 62 | VIII $\times$ XVII | 13.27 | $52327 \times 52087$ | Cob girth |
| 63 | VI $\times$ XVII | 12.92 | $52285 \times 52087$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 64 | $\mathrm{III} \times \mathrm{V}$ | 12.84 | $52291 \times 52014$ | Cob girth |
| 65 | $\mathrm{IV} \times \mathrm{V}$ | 12.81 | $52202 \times 52014$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 66 | XIII $\times$ XVI | 12.65 | $52040 \times 52115$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 67 | $\mathrm{VII} \times$ XIV | 12.51 | $52140 \times 52020$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
|  |  |  | 52180 ] |  |
|  |  |  | 52497 |  |
| 68 | $\mathrm{IX} \times \mathrm{IV}$ | 12.51 | 52552 - x 52202 | Number of grains $\mathrm{cob}^{-1}$ |
|  |  |  | 52347 |  |
|  |  |  | 52045 - |  |
| 69 | XV $\times$ XVII | 12.50 | $52201 \times 52087$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 70 | $\mathrm{V} \times$ VIII | 12.48 | $52014 \times 52327$ | Cob girth |
| 71 | XIII $\times$ XVIII | 12.40 | $52040 \times 52234$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 72 | III $\times$ VII | 12.27 | $52291 \times 52140$ | Cob length |
| 73 | $\mathrm{VII} \times \mathrm{X}$ | 12.21 | $52140 \times 52623$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 74 | X $\times$ XII | 12.19 | $52623 \times$ PKVM-Shatak | Cob girth |
|  |  |  | 52180 ] |  |
|  |  |  | 52497 |  |
| 75 | IX $\times$ XI | 12.18 | 52552 $-\times 52196$ <br>   | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
|  |  |  | 52045 - |  |
|  |  |  | 52180 |  |
|  |  |  | 52497 |  |
| 76 | $\mathrm{IX} \times \mathrm{XV}$ | 12.07 | $52552-\times 52201$ | Number of grains $\mathrm{cob}^{-1}$ |
|  |  |  | 52347 |  |
|  |  |  | 52045 |  |
|  |  |  | 52180 ] |  |
|  |  |  | 52497 |  |
| 77 | IX $\times$ III | 11.99 | 52552 - × 52291 | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
|  |  |  | 52347 |  |
|  |  |  | 52045 ـ |  |
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| 78 | XII $\times$ XV | 11.81 | PKVM-Shatak $\times 52201$ | Cob girth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | $\mathrm{III} \times \mathrm{XVI}$ | 11.74 | $52291 \times 52115$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 80 | IX $\times$ XII | 11.60 | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 52180 \\ 52497 \\ 52552 \\ 52347 \\ 52045 \end{array}\right]-\times \text { PKVM-Shatak }$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 81 | $\mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{XX}$ | 11.57 | $552014 \times 52250$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 82 | XII $\times$ XVI | 11.51 | PKVM-Shatak $\times 52115$ | Cob girth |
| 83 | IV $\times$ XII | 11.50 | $52202 \times$ PKVM-Shatak | Cob girth |
| 84 | $\mathrm{IX} \times \mathrm{V}$ | 11.39 | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 52180 \\ 52497 \\ 52552 \\ 52347 \\ 52045 \end{array}\right]-\quad \times 52014$ | Number of grains $\mathrm{cob}^{-1}$ |
| 85 | $\mathrm{V} \times \mathrm{XIV}$ | 11.31 | $52014 \times 52020$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 86 | $\mathrm{IV} \times$ VII | 11.25 | $52202 \times 52140$ | Cob girth |
| 87 | $\mathrm{V} \times$ XVII | 11.18 | $52014 \times 52087$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 88 | III $\times$ XVIII | 11.15 | $52291 \times 52234$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 89 | $\mathrm{III} \times$ XIX | 11.13 | $52291 \times 52603$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 90 | XI $\times$ XVII | 11.13 | $52196 \times 52087$ | 100 grain weight |
| 91 | VII $\times$ XV | 10.92 | $52140 \times 52201$ | Cob girth |
| 92 | $\mathrm{VI} \times \mathrm{XV}$ | 10.90 | $52285 \times 52201$ | Number of grains $\mathrm{cob}^{-1}$ |
| 93 | IX $\times$ XVII | 10.89 | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 52180 \\ 52497 \\ 52552 \\ 52347 \\ 52045 \end{array}\right]-\mathrm{x} 52087$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 94 | VIII $\times$ XIII | 10.85 | $52327 \times 52040$ | Cob length |
| 95 | $\mathrm{IV} \times \mathrm{X}$ | 10.84 | $52202 \times 52623$ | Cob length |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 52180 \\ 52497 \end{array}$ |  |
| 96 | IX $\times$ II | 10.83 | $\begin{aligned} & 52552 \\ & 52347 \\ & 52045 \end{aligned} \quad \text { - x } 52025$ | 100 grain weight |
| 97 | X $\times$ XIII | 10.81 | $\begin{aligned} & 52623 \times 52040 \\ & 52180 \\ & 52497 \end{aligned} \quad$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 98 | $\mathrm{IX} \times$ VII | 10.81 | $\begin{aligned} & 52552 \\ & 52347 \\ & 52045 \end{aligned} \quad-\quad \text { x } 52140$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 99 | XI $\times$ XIV | 10.73 | $\begin{aligned} & 52196 \times 52020 \\ & 52180 \\ & 52497 \end{aligned}$ | Number of grains cob ${ }^{-1}$ |
| 100 | IX $\times$ XX | 10.72 | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 52552 \\ 52347 \\ 52045 \end{array} \quad-\quad \text { x } 52250$ | Grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$ |

the present study all possible combinations beyond the mean inter-cluster distance ( $\mathrm{D}=10.70$ ) formed from different clusters have been arranged in descending order of magnitude of genetic distance and promising hundred cluster combinations are presented in table 8. Other practical considerations like grain yield plant ${ }^{-1}$, days to $50 \%$ tasseling, number of grains $\mathrm{cob}^{-1}$, plant height and cob length were also taken into account while choosing the genotypes from the selected cluster combinations, which can be crossed in diallel fashion to obtain superior cross combinations.

Based on the above mentioned criteria 28 genotypes viz., 52202, 52623, 52025, 52201, 52014, 52291, 52087, 52115, 52196, 52020, 52140, 52327, 52285, 52180, 52497, 52552, 52347, 52045, 52597, 52353, 52095, 52081, 52065, 52219, 52263, 52250, 52603 and 52040 were identified to be used as parents for hybridization programme, which were suggested to be crossed in diallel fashion to obtain superior cross combinations. PKVM-Shatak as it is in separate cluster and distant from other clusters can be further improved to produce new hybrid by crossing with parents 52250, 52020, 52087, 52025, 52014, 52040, 52623, 52201, 52180, 52552, 52115 and 52202 .
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[^1]:    Table 6. Value of five canonical root and their contribution expressed as per cent of the total variation

    | Root | Value | Contribution (\%) |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | $\ddot{\mathrm{e}}_{1}$ | 2.334 | 25.93 |
    | $\ddot{\mathrm{e}}_{2}$ | 1.360 | 15.11 |
    | $\ddot{\mathrm{e}}_{3}$ | 1.169 | 12.99 |
    | $\ddot{\mathrm{e}}_{4}$ | 0.997 | 11.08 |
    | $\ddot{e}_{5}$ | 0.944 | 10.49 |
    | Total | 6.804 | 75.60 |
    | Sum of all canonical root | 9.000 | - |
    | Residual | 2.196 | 24.40 |

