ASSESSMENT OF VARIABILITY IN M₃ GENERATION OF INDIAN MUSTARD (Brassica juncea) CZERN AND COSS

Anup Anasane¹, Beena Nair², A. P. Dhongade³ and D. Y. Upadhyay⁴

ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted with the objectives to estimate variances between family and within family, to estimate genetic parameters and to identify superior mutants for further utilization at experimental farm of Agricultural Botany Section, College of Agriculture Nagpur during rabi 2018 in M, generation. In rabi 2018, 90 mutants along with two checks (Bio 902 and Pusa bold) were evaluated in M, generation in two replications. Data were recorded on germination percentage, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of primary branches plant 1, number of siliqua plant 1, seed yield plant and 1000 seed weight. The genetic parameter analysis revealed the importance of number of siliqua plant and seed yield plant for selection of better individual mutant from the progenies, based on genotypic coefficient of variation, phenotypic coefficient of variation, heritability and genetic advance. Thus, 102 mutants were selected from Bio 902 variety treated with different doses of gamma rays and 38 mutants were selected from Pusa bold variety treated with different doses of gamma rays. All these mutants will be forwarded to M, generation in progeny rows for one or more generations so that homozygosity will be attained and the superior genotypes can be selected for forwarding to yield trials in further generation.

(Key words: Brassica juncea, mutation, genetic variability, gamma rays.)

INTRODUCTION

Availability of genetic variability is the prerequisite for any breeding programme. Besides conventional methods, induced mutation has been extensively used for developing new genetic variation in crop plants. Yield is one of the most important economic characters and is the product of multiplicative interaction of contributing characters. Hence, the important objective in mustard improvement is oriented to develop varieties which have high yielding potential. In M₃ generation, homozygosity is not achieved. In order to find out extent of variability in M₃ generation and to test whether homozygosity is attained or not, the present study was undertaken using the mutants selected in M₂ generation of Pusa bold and Bio 902 variety of mustard with a mutagenic agent, i.e. gamma rays.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Dry healthy seeds of *Brassica juncea*, Pusa bold and Bio-902 treated with gamma rays of 900, 1000, 1100 Gy (Co⁶⁰) at BARC Trombay, Mumbai were used. The $\rm M_1$ generation was raised during 2015-16 and individual plants in each treatment were harvested separately. The harvested seeds were used to raise $\rm M_2$ generation. During *rabi* 2016-17 mutants were identified from Pusa bold and Bio-902 during

M₂ generation. In *rabi* 2017-18, on 12 Nov, all the harvested seeds from each (90) mutants of M₂ generation were sown to raise M₃generation in replicated trial using Randomized Block Design.Data were recorded on germination percentage, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of primary branches plant⁻¹, number of siliqua plant⁻¹, seed yield plant⁻¹ and 1000 seed weight.The data recorded during M₃ generation were subjected to the statistical analysis i.e.mean, range, genotypic variance, phenotypic variance, heritability (broad sense), genotypic coefficient of variation (%), phenotypic coefficient of variation (%), genetic advance (GA), standard error (SE) and co-efficient of variation (CV) (%).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The observations were recorded on 90 mutants along with two checks for eight characters. 1000 seed weight were taken only for bold seeded mutants. Analysis of variance observed significance for between family and within family genetic variation. The results of this analysis were presented in table 1. The data on the analysis of variance resulted in highly significant mean square due to between family for all eight characters studied i.e.germination percentage, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of primary branches plant⁻¹, number of siliqua plant⁻¹, seed yield plant⁻¹ and 1000 seedweight. This

8.66 0.28 5.47

9.62

8.26 0.16 4.16

36.26 12.33 7.73

68.30

32.41

34.23 7.22 8.08

Heritability(%)

G.A

7.83

1.923.82

G.A (as % of mean)

8.28 10.61

 ∞

Table 1. Analysis of variance for different characters in M₃generation

				Mean sum of square	of square				
Source of variation	JE C	Germination (%)	Germination Days to 50% (%) flowering	Days to I maturity	Plant height (cm)	t Number of primary branches plant ⁻¹	Number of sliqua t-1 plant-1	Seed yield plant ⁻¹ (g)	1000 seed weight
Between families	92	192.67**	14.93**	71.31**	4294.40**	3.98**	18019.40**	86.36**	11.49**
Within families	2697	94.41	7.62	13.43	237.66	1.07	4860.74	22.52	2.99
Intra class correlation(t)	(t)	0.342	0.324	0.683	0.363	0.083	0.083	0.086	0.087
Table2. Genetic parameters estimates for different	ameters estin	nates for diff		characters in M ₃ generation	neration				
Parameters	Germination (%)	n Days to 50% flowering	0% Days to g maturity		eight	Number of N primary branches plant ¹	Number of sliqua plant ⁻¹	Seed yield plant ¹ (g)	1000 seed weight
CV (%)	7.85	3.81	5.38	7.30		8.22	15.79	20.4	10.56
SE	6.87	1.95	2.59	10.90		0.73	49.30	3.07	1.22
СД	19.29	5.48	7.28	30.22		2.03	136.69	8.50	3.39
Mean	89.34	50.13	100.02	159.39		3.78	132.63	7.06	5.04
Range	100 - 59.61	58.5045.50	107 – 88	186.63 -133.23		4.87 - 3.03 184	184.47 -88.90	1219-3.34	6.40-2.40
	(40.39)	(13)	(19)	(53.4)		(1.84)	(95.57)	(8.85)	(4)
Genotypic variance	49.13	3.66	28.94	135.22		0.10	438.62	2.00	0.28
Phenotypic variance	143.54	11.28	42.37	372.88		1.17	5299.37	20.81	3.27
GCV (%)	7.85	3.81	5.38	7.30		8.22	15.79	20.04	10.56
PCV (%)	13.41	6.70	6.51	12.12		28.59	54.89	64.62	35.89

Table 3. Superior mutants selected for $\mathbf{M}_4\mathbf{generation}$

More no. of siliqua High yield More no. of branches High yield High yield Bold and Tall More no. of branches
ranches sld sld Tall ranches
td 4
More no. of ciliana
Bold and More no. of siliqua
More no. of branches
High yield
High viold
16
ıches
High yield 8
19
More no. of branches 2
bold High संदीत
15
High yield
High yield
High yield
Bold
High yield
High yield
High yield High yield
High vield
High vield 22
High vield
High vield
High yield
Tall and More no. of siliqua
High yield
High yield

5.8	6 5.8	8.8	6.2	4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4	6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4 6.4	4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4
166 205 194 172	189 174 201 163	104 174 179 162 176	162 189 169 171 173 185	166 178 172 186 177	193 186 188 178 178 188 195 195	180 186 186 166 171 173 178
∞∞4√	w 4 4 4 4	าหหหหห	o v v 4 & v 4 v	L & L L W (w w 4 w & w w w w 0 w w v r r w	444410001 4
572 565 331 413	115 178 382 171	255 212 190 317 298 298	220 247 220 292 302 489	436 291 280 336 334	148 389 412 352 317 102 103 63 63 117 278 374 860	161 215 214 212 257 229 268 385
26.8 66.9 24.8 27.2	26.6 19.1 18.7 17.3	18.6 15.5 16 16 16 15.8 15.1	15.1 16.8 16.5 16.5 16.4 16.4 23.8	21.7 21. 22.5 25.3 24.4	17.3 17.6 22.8 22.8 22.4 25.4 15.1 15.1 15.1 15.1 15.1 15.1 15.1	16.8 16.2 16.2 16.1 16.1 18.2 18.7 19.1
7 6 7 8	11 9 6 5	2 2 20 15 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	111 12 8 8 3 3 1	13 2 2 2 17 5	2 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	7 30 8 8 8 8 16 16 13 18 25 25
More no. of branches Bold and Tall Bold Bold	High yield Bold Bold and Tall Early	More no. of stridua Bold Bold More no. of stliqua Tall More no. of stliqua High yield	Bold More no. of siliqua More no. of siliqua High yield Bold High yield Aore no. of siliqua	Bold High yicld More no. of branches Bold High yield	Bold More no. of siliqua Bold Bold High yield Bold Bold Bold High yield High yield High yield High yield High yield Tigh of Dranches More no. of branches	Bold & Appressed High yield Appressed High yield More no. of branches Appressed High yield High yield High yield Bold
M-2-19-7 M-2-22-9 M-2-26-2 M-2-24-3	M-2-16-11 M-2-21-9 M-2-27-6 M-2-21-5 M-2-20-13	M-2-20-13 M-2-24-2 M-2-17-20 M-2-28-15 M-2-29-6 M-2-30-14 M-2-18-11	M-2-25-17 M-2-28-12 M-2-28-08 M-2-23-20 M-2-23-3 M-2-23-3 M-2-23-3 M-3-42-1	M-3-42-13 M-3-39-2 M-3-31-2 M-3-38-17 M-3-39-5	M-3-34-2 M-3-39-15 M-3-36-21 M-3-38-3 M-3-39-11 M-3-34-11 M-3-35-17 M-3-34-15 M-3-36-7 M-3-37-27 M-3-37-27 M-3-31-15 M-3-31-15	M-3-38-7 M-3-39-30 M-3-44-6 M-3-42-24 M-3-33-16 M-3-39-13 M-3-36-25 M-3-33-18
					1100 Gy	
51 52 53 54	55 56 57 58	60 62 63 63 65 65	67 68 69 71 72 73	47 75 77 87	2	93 95 97 98 99 100 101

Doses of	Progeny	Character of	Plant no	Seed yield	No. of siliqua	No. of primary	Plant weight 1000 seed	1000 seed
mutagen	selected	progeny	selected	$plant^{-1}(g)$	plant ¹	branches plant	(cm)	weight (g)
900 Gv	M-1-51-10	Tall	10	16	146	S	187	
•	M-1-59-10	High yield	10	15.4	235	5	162	
	M-1-57-8	Early	8	15.2	82	3	159	
	M-1-55-15	Tali	15	15.3	195	ς.	161	
	M-1-49-11	High vield	=======================================	15.6	211	9	170	
	M-1-57-4	More no of siliona	4	17.2	482	4	191	
	M-1-54-15	High wield	- 7	163	142 144	+ v	150	
	M 1 50 2	Mous no effections	3 6	000	F 77) <	721	
	INI-1-39-3	More no. oi sinqua	ი %	20.8	ŧ.	4 -	10/	
	M-1-58-29	High yield	62	16.1	183	4	170	
	M-1-59-4	Appressed	4	16.6	347	4	154	
	M-1-59-15	High yield	15	16.6	210	4	<u>18</u> 1	
	M-1-58-29	High yield	53	16.1	183	4	170	
	M-1-48-15	High yield	15	18.8	245	4	172	
	M-1-51-15	More no. of siliqua	15	22.1	401	9	172	
$1000\mathrm{Gy}$	M-2-62-27	Bold	27	15.6	244	4	182	\mathcal{E}
•	M-2-75-29	More no. of siliqua	29	17.2	412	∞	168	
	M-2-61-24	High yield	42	15	217	2	172	
	M-2-63-6	High yield	9	26.5	35	4	145	
	M-2-71-22	More no. of branches	23	20.2	292	7	178	
	M-2-71-2	Tall	2	18.8	302	5	190	
$1100\mathrm{Gy}$	M-3-79-16	More no. of siliqua	16	21.5	512	8	140	
•	M-3-78-28	High yield	82	18	148	4	146	
	M-3-76-26	Appressed	36	17.9	292	8	178	
	M-3-78-7	Bold	7	17.9	238	9	198	5.4
	M-3-88-30	More no. of siliqua	30	17.7	310	5	171	
	M-3-82-15	High yield	15	16.7	131	5	167	
	M-3-77-5	High yield	5	15.8	203	9	155	
	M-3-88-24	More no. of siliqua	24	15.8	310	9	185	
	M-3-78-25	High yield	25	15.3	2/2	5	145	
	M-3-45-28	More no. of branches	28	15.1	374	7	191	
	M-3-87-4	High yield	4	16.1	210	4	172	
	M-3-88-12	More no. of branches	12	24.7	273	9	182	
	M-3-89-4	More no. of branches	4	23.3	330	7	167	
	M-3-80-3	High vield	3	23	43	8	147	
	M-3-76-20	More no. of branches	20	20.7	263	~	162	
	M-3-81-10	Bold	10	16.6	245	7	157	4.6
	M-3-86-15	High yield	15	17.3	252	9	168	
	M-3-77-2	High yield	2	19.9	55	4	170	
Control	Bio 902	Checks	09.7	139.63	3.47	162		

indicated thepresence of significant genetic variability between the families for all eight characters which allowed the estimation of genetic parameters. In accordance to this results significant variability between the families were also reported by Javed *et al.* (2000) in mustard.

Mean, range, genotypic variance, phenotypic variance, heritability (broad sense), genotypic coefficient of variation (%), phenotypic coefficient of variation (%), genetic advance (GA), standard error (SE) and co-efficient of variation (CV) (%) were calculated for eight characters in M, generation and are presented in table 2. Significant differences were observed between the progenies for all the eight characters studied. These results were line with that of Siddiqui et al. (2009) in rapeseed (Brassica napus), who also observed significant variation for all the characters studied. The phenotypic variance and phenotypic coefficient of variation was observed to be far higher than genotypic variance and genotypic coefficient of variation for all eight characters studied (Table 2). This indicated the higher influence of environment in the phenotypic expression of these characters. Similar results i.e. higher influence of environment were also reported by Bind et al. (2014) in mustard (*Brassica juncea*). Genotypic coefficient of variation exhibited in values from low to high category. Similarly phenotypic coefficient of variation was also observed to be low to high for different characters. In accordance to these results high genotypic coefficient of variation and phenotypic coefficient of variation for seed yield plant 1 and number of siliqua were also reported by Akbar et al. (2003) in mustard (Brassica juncea). The heritability estimates provide in formation on transmission of traits from parents to offspring. Such estimate facilitates the evaluation of genetic and environmental effect aiding in selection. Estimation of heritability is often used to predict genetic advance under selection so that the plant breeder can anticipate improvement of different type and intensity of selection. The heritability and genetic advance estimated in material consisting 90 mutants along with two checks for eight characters are reported in table 2.

Genetic advance as a percentage of mean were moderate to low for all the characters under the present study. Similar to these results, moderate to low genetic advance as a percentage of mean was also reported by Kumar et al. (2012) in rapeseed (Brassica napus). When all the genetic parameters for eight characters were considered, it was found that seed yield plant-1 exhibited high genotypic coefficient of variation, low heritability along with moderate genetic advance as a percentage of mean. Number of siliqua plant¹ exhibited moderate genotypic coefficient of variation, low heritability with low genetic advance as a percentage of mean. Moderate heritability with low genetic advance was observed for plant height at maturity, germination % and days to 50% flowering. Number of primary branches plant⁻¹and 1000 seed weight exhibited low heritability with low genetic advance whereas days to maturity exhibited high heritability with low genetic advance. This indicated that seed yield plant⁻¹exhibited low heritability with moderate genetic advance and were influenced by additive gene action in there traits in \mathbf{M}_3 generation and helps as a criteria for selection.

One of the main objectives of this experiment was to identify superior mutants for forwarding to yield trial. Individual mutants were selected from M₂ generation on the basis of high seed yield plant-1, number of siliqua plant⁻¹, earliness and boldness. The selected mutants from M₂ generation were raised in M₂ generation to test the performance and homozygosity. Selection of superior progenies on the basis of seed yield plant⁻¹ may not be effective and hence, plant breeder has to involve large number of component characters simultaneously in the selection programme. But handling of large number of component characters simultaneously becomes cumbersome and hence, selections of plants on the basis of seed yield plant⁻¹ and number of siliqua plant⁻¹ was considered. Superior plants from M₂ generation which were selected from different treatments on the basis of seed yield plant⁻¹, number of siliqua plant⁻¹, earliness, and bold seeded were presented in table 3. Thus, 102 mutants were selected from Bio 902 variety treated with different doses of gamma rays and 38 mutants were selected from Pusa bold variety treated with different doses of gamma rays.

All these 140 mutants which were selected from both Pusa bold and Bio 902 varieties were mainly on the basis of higher seed yield. A few of the mutants selected were also bold seeded. All these mutants will be forwarded to \mathbf{M}_4 generation in progeny rows for one or more generations so that homozygosity will be attained and the superior genotypes can be selected for forwarding to yield trials in further generation.

REFERENCES

- Akbar, M., T. Mahammad, M. Yaqub, M. Anwar, M. Ali and N. Iqbal, 2003. Variability, Correlation and Path Coefficient studies in Summer Mustard (*Brassica juncea L.*). Asian J. PI. Sci, 2(9):696-698.
- Bind, D., D. Singh, and V.K. Dwivedi, 2014. Genetic variability and character association in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea*(1) Czerns & coss] Agric. Sci. Digest.34 (3): 183 188.
- Javed, M.A., A. Khatri, I.A. Khan, M. Ahmad, M.A. Siddiqui and A.G.Arain, 2000. Utilization of gamma irradiation for the genetic improvement of oriental mustard (*Brassica juncea* Coss.).Pak.J.Bot.32: 77-83.
- Kumar, H., A. Srivastava, M.K. Vishwakarma and J.P. Lal, 2012. Genetic enhancement of variability through induced mutagenesis in two genotypes of *Brassica napus* (L). Madras Agric. J. 99 (4-6): 228-231.
- Siddiqui, M.A., I.A. Khan and A. Khatri, 2009. Induced quantitative variability by gamma rays and ethyl methane sulphonate and in combination in rape seed (*Brassica napus*). Pak. J. Bot.41(3): 1189-1195.